Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,739	MASON, HARRY N.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>now allowed</u>	
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)	
(2) <u>john Hanley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: potential over Ross Des368671		
Claims discussed: 1,5		
Prior art documents discussed: Ross Des368671		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
1/ 1 1		
Mughelf		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiern called to say Ross Des368671 reads on claims as amended, but since applicant is clearly attempting to amendover prior art, offered to let applicant suggest amendment over Ross Des368671. Several iterations and calls resulted in the approved examiner's amendment,...